## **REMARKS**

A substitute sheet for page 66 is submitted to cure inadvertent errors in the column subheadings of Table 20. The claims have been amended to eliminate multiple dependency.

Respectfully submitted,

Bacon Thomas

George & Loud

Registration No. 25,814

Date: September 1, 2006

Customer Number **23364** Telephone: 703-683-0500

ETOR3003.IDS.b2.wpd

[Table 20]

		luminance ( $c d / m^2$ )	
		Comparative Example 15	Comparative Example 16
parallel direction	left 45 deg.	1180	1260
	left 30 deg.	1560	1330
	0 deg.	1560	1240
	right 30 deg.	1550	1330
	right 45 deg.	1180	1250
perpendicular direction	up 45 deg.	1350	1610
	up 30 deg.	1710	1500
	0 deg.	1560	1240
	down 30 deg.	1720	1520
	down 45 deg.	1360	1600

## [0149]

As seen from the results shown in Table 20, when the conventional light diffusive sheets were incorporated into the backlight unit, favorable front luminance could not be obtained.

## [0150]

As clearly seen from the results of the aforementioned examples, the light control films of the examples exhibited superior front luminance and appropriate light diffusing property, because the rough surfaces thereof were designed so that they should satisfy the